William Rhyne William Rhyne

To: Date:

Mon, Feb 3, 2003 5 18 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Edwards Representative Ballenger Message text follows:

William Rhyne 2194 Land Harbor Newland, NC 28657

February 3, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

William Rhyne

William Robinson

To:

William Robinson

Date:

Tue, Jan 28, 2003 5 57 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Byrd Senator Rockefeller Representative Capito Message text follows:

William Robinson P O Box 828 Clendenin, WV 25045-0828

January 28, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

William R Robinson

William Rogers III

To:

William Rogers III

Date:

Mon, Feb 3, 2003 10 10 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Representative Castle Message text follows.

William Rogers III 256 Cambridge Rd Camden, DE 19934

February 3, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

William H. Rogers III

William Snyder

To:

William Snyder

Date:

Mon, Feb 3, 2003 9 17 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator DeWine Senator Voinovich Representative Brown Message text follows

William Snyder 3359 Revere Ct Brunswick, OH 44212

February 3, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service. This action will strengthen telephone monopolies and limit competition, thereby increasing costs for everyone.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

William P Snyder

William Waltrip William Waltrip

To: Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 147 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Hutchison Representative Barton Message text follows

William Waltrip 5804 Village Glen Trl Arlington, TX 76016-2716

February 5, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

William Waltrip

William West

To:

William West

Date:

Mon, Feb 3, 2003 9 19 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Shelby Senator Sessions Representative Everett Message text follows.

William West 1008 Martin ST Dothan, AL 36301

February 3, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market I'm also concerned about the Commission&#8217,s move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

William R West

Wilson Willey

To:

Wilson Willey

Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 10.08 AM

Subject:

**Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers** 

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Alexander Representative Davis Message text follows

Wilson Willey 2161Garner Rd Mt Pleasant, TN 38474-2819

February 5, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here].

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Wilson Willey

Wilson Willey Wilson Willey

To: Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 10:09 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients: Senator Frist Message text follows

Wilson Willey 2161Garner Rd Mt Pleasant, TN 38474-2819

February 5, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Wilson Willey

Yvonne Hunter-Cabell

To:

Yvonne Hunter-Cabell

Date: Subject: Mon, Feb 3, 2003 7.56 PM

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Levin

Senator Stabenow Representative Dingell

Message text follows

Yvonne Hunter-Cabell 10322 Martz Road Ypsilanti, MI 48197

February 3, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Yvonne Hunter-Cabell

Bill Straub

To:

Bill Straub

Date:

Thu, Feb 6, 2003 9 38 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Kohl Senator Feingold Representative Kleczka Message text follows

Bill Straub 3476 A N. Dousman St Milwaukee, WI 53212-1757

February 6, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren&#8217,t required to allow competitors access to the market 1&#8217,m also concerned about the Commission&#8217,s move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Bill Straub

Bob Hale

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date: Subject: Wed, Feb 5, 2003 5 16 PM Please keep UNE-P alive

Dear Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein,

I am writing to request the UNE-P platform be saved at the upcoming "Triennial Review" scheduled for February 13.

My company, Granite Telecommunications, LLC is a premier provider of competitive local exchange telecommunications services in the Verizon and BellSouth service territories to over ten thousand subscribers. Granite also provides a host of competitive interexchange services. Granite's local exchange services are currently provided primarily utilizing Verizon and BellSouth unbundled loops, transport, and switching under the incumbents' unbundled network element - platform ("UNE-P"). Granite relies on UNE-P by necessity, due to the highly limited availability of competitive vendors of switching and transport facilities, and significant switch and facility deployment costs, which render incumbent UNE-P the only economically viable service medium available to Granite today.

Because of the ILECs' bottleneck control over "last-mile" facilities, CLECs that possess their own switches are forced to deploy their networks in a manner that accommodates ILECs' historical and inefficient network architecture. This means that CLECs must construct physical facilities between their switch and the ILECs' premises, must build out space and place equipment at those premises, and most notably, must work with ILECs to undergo the mass migration of their customer bases to their newly deployed networks. In many instances the time and cost associated with these processes are so enormous as to render them infeasible, particularly when serving small business and residential customers. And as for the mass migration of CLEC customers from existing ILEC networks to CLECs' newly deployed networks, the record reflects that there simply are no mechanisms in place to ensure an orderly and seamless mass migration.

It is for these reasons I request the UNE-P platform be saved

Sincerely,

Bob Hale Chief Executive Officer Granite Telecommunications, LLC 234 Copeland Street Quincy, MA 02169 phone 781 884 5550 fax 781 848 7955 cell/home 703 587 8960 website: www.granitenet.com

Bob Morrison

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 7 28 PM

Subject:

Save the UNI-P Platform

Please see attached.

Thank you,

Bob Morrison The Morrison Group 463 Pennsfield Place Suite 200 Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 805-495-1972 Phone 805-495-6860 Fax www.morrisongroup net



February 5, 2003

To whom it may concern

I ask your support for the continued availability of the "UNE-Platform."

My company, The Morrison Group, offers local telephone and long distance consulting service in California. We have numerous clients as well in other States as well. Our company has achieved increasing success largely because it utilizes the combination of "unbundled network elements" – the UNE-Platform - to serve our customers. It is absolutely critical that we have continued access to the UNE-Platform to remain competitive and not let SBC take over the Telecom market.

Unfortunately, the Regional Bell Operating Companies have launched a full-scale attack on the UNE-Platform, realizing it is a major threat to their continued market dominance. Their strategy is to impose certain restrictions on individual network elements that would destroy the competitive value of the UNE-Platform. If the RBOCs succeed, it will all but end any chance for consumers to enjoy the benefits of meaningful competition in local phone service.

Please oppose any effort that will limit the availability of the UNE-Platform. The UNE-Platform should be firmly and permanently established as a viable service option for competitive telecom carriers.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter.

Bob Morrison,

President
The Morrison Group

Brian Barkley

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 4 32 PM

Subject:

SAVE THE UNE-PLATFORM!!!



February 5th, 2003

Dear Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein:

I ask your support for the continued availability of the "UNE-Platform."

My company, Access One, offers local telephone service in select SBC territories. The company has achieved increasing success largely because it utilizes the combination of "unbundled network elements" – the UNE-Platform - to serve customers. It is absolutely critical that we have continued access to the UNE-Platform to remain competitive.

Unfortunately, the Regional Bell Operating Companies have launched a full-scale attack on the UNE-Platform, realizing it is a major threat to their continued market dominance. Their strategy is to impose certain restrictions on individual network elements that would destroy the competitive value of the UNE-Platform. If the RBOCs succeed, it will all but end any chance for consumers to enjoy the benefits of meaningful competition in local phone service.

Please oppose any effort at the Federal Communications Commission or at state agencies to limit the availability of the UNE-Platform. The UNE-Platform should be firmly and permanently established as a viable service option for competitive telecom carriers.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Brian Barkley President Access One Incorporated

Clark Crumer

To:

Commissioner Adelstein Wed, Feb 5, 2003 4,50 PM

Date: Subject:

Save the UNE-Platform

Dear Jonathan S Adelstein.

This email is in regards to the Triennial Review including the fate of UNEs and the UNE-Platform

As a small business sole proprietor who resells Telecommunications services, I would like you to know that abolishing UNE's and the UNE-Platform will eliminate a huge revenue base for myself and countless other small business owners. UNE allows me to give my clients a real full service solution

In my occupational life I have been an engineer for SBC and A consultant for Hewlett Packard in regards to telecommunication services for the largest of carriers. I have seen both sides of the fence so to speak and believe that the current UNE-P structure benefits the end user the most. The competition generated and the creation of an even playing field for all is available today. By eliminating the UNE-Platform you will be destroying all the work and planning that has already been done and you will set the telecommunications industry once again back in the hands of the "biggest kids on the block"

Thank You,

Clark

Clark D Crumer NorthStar Technologies Voice & Data Services 888-408-7344 clark@northstar-technologies com www northstar-technologies com

**Dave Beckett** 

To:

Commissioner Adelstein Wed, Feb 5, 2003 9 10 PM

Date: Subject:

Let the UNE-P environment continue

From my current experience and past experience I can say that small businesses benefit greatly from competitive LEC alternatives Many good CLECs cannot progress without the UNE-P provision

**Dave Beckett** 

Stellar Communications LLC

Dave@StellarCom biz

(503) 699-5505

(503) 699-1002 Fax

(866) DataCom

(866) 328-2266

www.StellarCom biz

David G. Wilming

To:

Commissioner Adelstein Thu, Feb 6, 2003 9 46 AM

Date: Subject:

UNEP

Dear Commissioner,

I am writing to urge your support of the UNEs and the UNE-Platform and stress its importance to local phone competition, consumer choice, and the small business economy

Sincerely,

David G Wilming

This message may contain confidential and trade secret information and is subject to the Economic Espionage Act of 1996. For recipient's use only If you have received this message in error, please delete immediately, and alert the sender

Deana Corsetti

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 5 06 PM

Subject:

SAVE UNE-P

<<UNE-Platform Letter Jonathan Adelstein doc>>

Deana M. Corsetti Access One, Inc Post Sales Marketing Representative P 312 441-1000 ext. 935 F 312 441-1010



February 5th, 2003

Dear Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein:

I ask your support for the continued availability of the "UNE-Platform."

My company, Access One, offers local telephone service in select SBC territories. The company has achieved increasing success largely because it utilizes the combination of "unbundled network elements" – the UNE-Platform - to serve customers. It is absolutely critical that we have continued access to the UNE-Platform to remain competitive.

Unfortunately, the Regional Bell Operating Companies have launched a full-scale attack on the UNE-Platform, realizing it is a major threat to their continued market dominance. Their strategy is to impose certain restrictions on individual network elements that would destroy the competitive value of the UNE-Platform. If the RBOCs succeed, it will all but end any chance for consumers to enjoy the benefits of meaningful competition in local phone service.

Please oppose any effort at the Federal Communications Commission or at state agencies to limit the availability of the UNE-Platform. The UNE-Platform should be firmly and permanently established as a viable service option for competitive telecom carriers.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Deana M. Corsetti
Post Sales Marketing Representative
Access One Incorporated

Deborah Powell

To:

Commissioner Adelstein Thu, Feb 6, 2003 8 05 AM

Date: Subject:

**UNE-Platform SUPPORT** 

Dear Commissioner Adelstein

Please support the UNE-Platform. I have attached my letter for your consideration.

Thank you,

Deborah F Powell
Carolina Telecom
Tel: 864-306-9900
Fax 864-859-7592
dpowell@carolinatele.com

February 5,2003

Dear Commissioner:

I ask your support for the continued availability of the "UNE-Platform."

My company, Carolina Telecom, Inc., offers local telephone service in South Carolina, North Carolina, Georgia, and other states in the southeast. The company has achieved increasing success largely because it utilizes the combination of "unbundled network elements" – the UNE-Platform - to serve customers. It is absolutely critical that we have continued access to the UNE-Platform to remain competitive.

Unfortunately, the Regional Bell Operating Companies have launched a full-scale attack on the UNE-Platform, realizing it is a major threat to their continued market dominance. Their strategy is to impose certain restrictions on individual network elements that would destroy the competitive value of the UNE-Platform. If the RBOCs succeed, it will all but end any chance for consumers to enjoy the benefits of meaningful competition in local phone service.

Please oppose any effort that will limit the availability of the UNE-Platform. The UNE-Platform should be firmly and permanently established as a viable service option for competitive telecom carriers.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Deborah F. Powell President Carolina Telecom, Inc.

Dial, Patrick

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Wed, Feb 5, 2003 4 46 PM

Subject:

Save UNE P

<< OLE Obj >>

115 Stevens Ave Valhalla, NY 10595

February 5, 2003

Dear Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

I ask your support for the continued availability of the "UNE-Platform"

My company, BridgeCom International, Inc ,offers local telephone service in NY, NJ, and MA. The company has achieved increasing success largely because it utilizes the combination of "unbundled network elements" - the UNE-Platform - to serve customers. It is absolutely critical that we have continued access to the UNE-Platform to remain competitive.

Unfortunately, the Regional Bell Operating Companies have launched a full-scale attack on the UNE-Platform, realizing it is a major threat to their continued market dominance. Their strategy is to impose certain restrictions on individual network elements that would destroy the competitive value of the UNE-Platform. If the RBOCs succeed, it will all but end any chance for consumers to enjoy the benefits of meaningful competition in local phone service.

Please oppose any effort that will limit the availability of the UNE-Platform The UNE-Platform should be firmly and permanently established as a viable service option for competitive telecom carriers

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter

Sincerely,

Patrick Dial Agent Sales Manager BridgeCom International, Inc

Dieter Richter

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 4 53 PM

Subject:

Comments to the Commissioner

Dieter Richter (sprocket262@juno com) writes

PLEASE keep telecom COMPETITIVE.

Not like cable TV (only gets more and more expensive)

- -KEEP Line Sharing
- -ALLOW CLEC ACCESS to Remote Terminals
- -Consider DSL/Data = to Voice

THANK YOU, Dieter Richter

Server protocol HTTP/1 1 Remote host 209 109 233 250 Remote IP address 209 109 233 250

district37@sov state va.us

To:

Commissioner Adelstein Wed, Feb 5, 2003 2 25 PM

Date: Subject:

**UNE-P Rules** 

The Honorable Jonathan S Adelstein Commissioner Federal Communications Commission

Re UNE-P Rules and Local Phone Competition.

Dear Commissioner Adelstein

I am writing to encourage the Federal Communications Commission to foster competition in the local telephone market by preserving the requirement set forth in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act") that the former Bell Operating Companies offer competitors access to the unbundled network elements platform ("UNE-P") at reasonable wholesale rates under reasonable terms and conditions

Any effort to restrict UNE-P line sharing rules will choke off the only feasible avenue for competition for local telephone service. It is my understanding that the two other methods available to competitors under the Act, either building a parallel network or purchasing services from a Bell for resale to consumers, have proven to be economically unrealistic in the marketplace

While cable television may offer an alternative for local phone service, only some consumers will find cable a viable option. Many consumers either do not have access to cable or are uninterested in (or cannot afford) the bundled services (entertainment packages that include telephone service) currently offered by the cable industry.

Consumers in many states now enjoy lower phone prices and better value because of the availability of UNE-P. My constituents and other Virginians throughout our Commonwealth, however, are still waiting for the most part to obtain the lower prices and expanded services that were expected from the passage of the Act. Virginia's State Corporation Commission should be permitted to establish UNE prices in Virginia. Fair and reasonable UNE rates will open up true competition in the local phone market with better prices and more choices for consumers.

Sincerely,

Ken Cuccinelli Senator, 37th District Commonwealth of Virginia (804) 698-7537 P O Box 684 Centreville, VA 20122